

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,240	10/17/2003	Reinhold Opper	0275M-000769	2494
27572	7590 11/09/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occurren	10/688,240	OPPER, REINHOLD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lynne Edmondson	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	. ,
Status		* •	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8	3/30/06		
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims	<i>,</i>		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-18,22,28,30,32 and 34-38 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18,34,36,37 and 39-55 is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 56,59,60 and 63 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 57,58,61 and 62 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003 is/	drawn from consideration. allowed.  o. nd/or election requirement.  niner. /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	-
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the colony.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rrection is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Apprionty documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	Λ Π 1-1	(PTO 442)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

Application/Control Number: 10/688,240 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 56, 59, 60 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Ossenbruggen (US 20020131267).

Van Ossenbruggen teaches a riveting device comprising a driver (paragraph 1), a laser, a reference position or point and a connecting element (rivet or bolt) connected to the component (paragraphs 51 and 52). The reference point can be a hole or point of light which matches the shape of the connector and can be changed or adjusted relative to other parts of the apparatus. Light can be directed at an angle (figure 6, paragraphs 69 and 80). It is noted that the vehicle processed does not further limit the apparatus. An apparatus capable of processing an aircraft wing would typically be capable of processing an automobile.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/688,240 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 56, 59, 60 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spies (USPN 4120093) in view of Lohrmann (USPN 5145278).

Spies teaches a riveting device comprising a driver (paragraph 1), a laser, a reference position or point and a connecting element (bolt) connected to the component (figures 1, 3, 4). The reference is a bolt or point of light which matches the shape of the connector and can be changed or adjusted relative to other parts of the apparatus. Light can be directed at an angle (figure 10, col 2 line 65 – col 3 line 14 and col 3 lines 29-53). It is noted that the member processed does not further limit the apparatus. However there is no disclosure of a rivet in particular.

Lohrmann teaches connection of large members via any suitable fastener which includes rivets and bolts. No distinction is made (col 3 lines 15-43 and col 7 lines 34-51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a rivet is an obvious variation of a bolt and is known as such in the art and that a device capable of handling large members would be capable of handling automobile panels.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, filed 8/30/06, have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Art Unit: 1725

- 6. The 112 rejection of claims 11-14 has been withdrawn.
- 7. The objection of claims 34, 36 and 37 has been withdrawn.
- 8. It is noted that claims 1-10, 19-33, 35 and 38 have been canceled.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 11-18, 34, 36, 37 and 39-55 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 57, 58, 61 and 62 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rantsch (USPN 3130633, similar apparatus, drill bit, oblique light), Watanabe (USPN 3728027, similar apparatus, drill placement, oblique light) and McClay et al. (USPN 4620656, laser positioning for riveting as conventional).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lynne Edmondson
Primary Examiner

1-4 Unit 1725

LRE